7 8	ETH.	) ) No. 09-60452-7
9 IN RE: 10 EDRA D. BLIXSI	,	)
12	Debtor.	
BEAU BLIXSETH and MORGAN BLIXSETH,		) ) ) Adversary No. 10-00018
14	Plaintiffs,	) ) )
vs. EDRA D. BLIXSI	<b>T</b> TU	
17   18	Defendant.	
20	AMENDED	ANSWER
	OW, the Defendant, Edra D. B	lixseth, by and through her attorney of record,
22 and for her amend	ed answer to Plaintiffs' Comple	aint states as follows:
23 1. Det	endant admits the allegations c	contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of
Plaintiffs' Compla	int.	
25 2. Det	endant is without sufficient kn	owledge or information to admit or deny the
26 allegations contain	ed in paragraphs 8 and 9 of Pla	aintiffs' Complaint and, therefore, denies the
same.		
3. Det	endant denies the allegations c	ontained in paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs'
Complaint.		

- 4. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 11, 12, and 13 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 5. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 14 and 15 of Plaintiffs' Complaint and, therefore, denies the same.
- 6. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 16 and 17 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 7. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 8. With regard to paragraph 19, Defendant admits that pursuant to a martial settlement agreement, Timothy L. Blixseth transferred his 30% ownership interest in BFI to her and that thereafter she became the Managing Member of BFI. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 19 and, therefore, denies the same.
- 9. With regard to paragraphs 20, 21, and 22, Defendant admits that the document speaks for itself.
- 10. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 11. With regard to paragraph 24, Defendant admits the \$8,000,000 loan was obtained and Defendant believed it was secured by her shares only in BFI.
- 12. With regard to the first sentence in paragraph 25, Defendant admits that a meeting was held and admits the Operating Agreement speaks for itself. With regard to the remaining allegations, Defendant admits the documents speak for themselves.
- 13. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.
- 14. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 27, 28, 29 and 30 of Plaintiffs' Complaint.

1	15. With regard to the first three sentences in paragraph 31, Defendant admits the		
2	allegations. With regard to the last sentence of paragraph 31, Defendant admits that the		
3	Operating Agreement speaks for itself.		
4	16. Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs'		
5	Complaint.		
6	17. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs'		
7	Complaint.		
8	18. With regard to paragraph 34, Defendant admits that the document speaks for		
9	itself.		
10	19. Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 36, 37, 38, and 39 of		
11	Plaintiffs' Complaint.		
12	20. Defendant denies each and every allegation not specifically admitted herein.		
13	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14	Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which relief can be		
15	granted.		
16	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	Plaintiffs are estopped from pursuing this action due to their failure to mitigate their		
18	damages.		
19	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
20	Plaintiffs are estopped from pursuing this action due to contractual interference.		
21	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	Plaintiffs are estopped from pursuing this action due to unclean hands.		
23	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	Plaintiffs are estopped from pursuing this action due to Plaintiffs' past conduct of		
25	waiving notice by their father receiving money when he was manager.		
26	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
27	Plaintiffs are estopped from prosecuting this action due to their failure to properly extend		
28	time to object to discharge and failure to timely file this complaint.		

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows: 1 2 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint and that Debtor be granted a 3 discharge in this case. 4 2. For Defendant's reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred herein. 3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 5 DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010. 6 7 **DESCHENES & SULLIVAN LAW OFFICES** 8 BY: /s/Gary S. Deschenes Gary S. Deschenes 9 Attorney for Debtor/Defendant CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 10 11 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing AMENDED ANSWER was duly served by e-mail or first class mail, postage prepaid upon the following parties in interest, attorneys of record and parties who have filed special notice in this 12 case as shown below on this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010. 13 Jon R. Binney Binney Law Firm, P.C. 14 P. O. Box 2253 Missoula MT 59806-2253 15 jon@binneylaw.com 16 Kevin O'Connell Hagen O'Connell LLP 17 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 1500 Portland, OR 97204 18 koconnell@hagenoconnell.com 19 DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010. 20 /s/Nichole R. Widhalm Nichole R. Widhalm 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28